


REMARKS:

This application has been carefully studied and amended in view of the Office Action dated May 12, 2006. Reconsideration of that action is requested in view of the following.

The indication that Claims 3-20 would be allowable if written in independent form and if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 USC 112 is noted with appreciation. Claim 3 has now been written in independent form by incorporating the features of its former parent Claims 1 and 2. In presenting the features of Claim 1 the antecedent basis for "said at least one mounting hole" has been taken into account. Accordingly, Claim 3 should fully comply with 35 USC 112.

In order to advance the prosecution of this application, Claims 1-2 have been canceled. Since the only claims now remaining in this application, namely Claims 3-20, have been otherwise indicated as being allowable this application should be passed to issue.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: 
Harold Pezzner, Esquire
Reg. No. 22,112
Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz, LLP
1007 N. Orange Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 658-9141

@PFDesktop\.:ODMA/MHODMA/IMANDMS;CB;475197;1